UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/577,388	12/22/2006	Andreas Ahrens	09287W-US	4730	
Joel S Carter	7590 06/08/2009 Joel S Carter			EXAMINER	
Patent Department			RAINEY, ROBERT R		
One John Deere Moline, IL 6120			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2629		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/08/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/577,388	AHRENS ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	ROBERT R. RAINEY	2629
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may be a part of the may be seared patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron tute, cause the application to become ABANDON	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ▼ This action is application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition is in condition.	his action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 4-16 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examination 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 27 April 2006 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the	lrawn from consideration.  d/or election requirement.  iner.  a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to	-
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn		•
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Office	e Action of Ionn PTO-132.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applica riority documents have been receiv eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/28/06.	4)  Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal 6)  Other:	Date

Application/Control Number: 10/577,388 Page 2

Art Unit: 2629

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

1. Claims 4-16 objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 4-16 have not been further treated on the merits.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,567,004 to *Pietzsch* ("*Pietzsch*").

As to **claim 1**, *Pietzsch* discloses a device for the operation of a vehicle, which, in particular, is constructed in the form of an agricultural or industrial utility vehicle (see for example the Background of the Invention section), with a gripping part (12) (see for example Fig. 2 item 26), on a vehicle console (see for example Fig. 2 the thing that the gripping part is mounted to), wherein the gripping part (12) is constructed such that it can be gripped at least in part by one of the operator's hands, and/or that it is suitable at least in part for engagement with an operator's hand (see for example Fig. 2), wherein the gripping part (12)

Application/Control Number: 10/577,388

Art Unit: 2629

has operating elements (18, 20, 22) (see for example Fig. 2 items 27), with which at least one function of the vehicle and/or one function of a work device, that may be attached to the vehicle, can be controlled, and wherein a display unit (24) (see for example Fig. 2 item 30) is provided that is correlated to the gripping part (12) (see for example Fig. 2 note that the items are correlated at least in that they are proximate each other or in that they are constrained to certain spatial relationships to each other) and can be arranged so that it is adjustable, relative to the gripping part (12) (see for example Fig. 2 item 33 or 35).

Page 3

Pietzsch discloses the claimed invention except for providing that the gripping part can be placed rigidly on the console. One of ordinary skill in the art could have mounted the gripping part rigidly since it requires no more than ordinary skill to remove features such as the hinge that allows the gripping part to move in its deployed position. The suggestion/motivation would have been to reduce cost by eliminating components and their function.

Regarding **claim 2**, in addition to the rejection of claim 1, *Pietzsch* further discloses that the display unit (24) has a monitor (see for example Fig. 2 item 30), preferably, an LCD or a TFT monitor, wherein the monitor can show information regarding the operating state of the vehicle or a work device that can be attached to the vehicle and that, preferably, has a touch-entry capability comparable to a touch screen (Note that the use of the term "preferably" eliminates the described limitations from consideration because they are not

necessary. However: examiner takes official notice that touch screen TFT LCD monitors were known for use in vehicles. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use such a recognized option. The suggestion/motivation would have been to provide advantages such as low weight and cost compared to some other options or to allow soft controls to be provided in addition to those suggested on item 26, i.e. those located in columns next to the large rectangle that suggests an addressable display.).

Regarding **claim 3** in addition to the rejection of claim 2, *Pietzsch* further discloses that at least one other operating element is provided on the display unit (24) (the rejection of claim 2 covers the obviousness of the use of a touch screen, the touch screen function inherently provides "operating elements").

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ROBERT R. RAINEY whose telephone number is (571)270-3313. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amare Mengistu can be reached on (571) 272-7674. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/577,388 Page 5

Art Unit: 2629

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/RR/

/Amare Mengistu/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629